UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

ERIC CHRISTIAN,

Case No. 2:17-cv-01709-JCM-PAL

ORDER

٧.

JOE LOMBARDO, et al.,

Respondents.

Petitioner.

Eric Christian has filed what he styled an appeal of federal criminal conviction and state concurrent custody (ECF No. 1-1). His application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (ECF No. 1) shall be granted. His purported petition is defective in several respects and shall be dismissed.

First, the pleading is not on any of the court's forms. LSR 3-1, 4-1. Second, while Christian refers to a state-court case, which would potentially implicate his rights under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 or § 2254, he sets forth no grounds alleging that he is in custody pursuant to a state-court judgment in violation of his federal constitutional rights. § 2254(a). Third, Christian indicates that he seeks to challenge his federal criminal conviction in case no. 2:09-cr-00303-RFB-VCF-1. In order to challenge a federal criminal conviction, Christian must file a § 2255 motion to vacate, set aside or correct that sentence. A § 2255 motion is to be filed in the underlying federal criminal case itself. Christian apparently is aware that the proper course is a § 2255 motion, because he has in fact filed such motion, and it is pending in his federal criminal case

(2:09-cr-00303-RFB-VCF-1, ECF No. 408). Accordingly, this action shall be dismissed for failure to state a claim for which relief may be granted.

The court finally notes that Christian has filed a motion for surgery (ECF No. 8). If Christian claims that the actions or inactions of prison personnel constitute deliberate indifference to his serious medical needs, he must file a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights complaint.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Christian's application to proceed *in forma* pauperis (ECF No. 1) is **GRANTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall detach and file the petition (ECF No. 1-1).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the petition is DISMISSED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the petitioner is denied a certificate of appealability.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the following motions filed by petitioner: motion to stay (ECF No. 3); motion for magistrate ruling (ECF No. 6); motion for inmate relocation (ECF No. 7); motion for surgery (ECF No. 8); motion for judgment (ECF No. 9); and motion for summary judgment (ECF No. 10) are all **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly and close this case.

DATED: November 6, 2017.

JAMES C. MAHAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Uns C. Mahan